### WORLD TRADE

### **ORGANIZATION**

**G/SPS/12** 11 March 1999

(99-1007)

**Committee on Sanitary and Phytosanitary Measures** 

## REVIEW OF THE OPERATION AND IMPLEMENTATION OF THE AGREEMENT ON THE APPLICATION OF SANITARY AND PHYTOSANITARY MEASURES

#### Report of the Committee

#### I. INTRODUCTION

- 1. Article 12.7 of the Agreement on the Application of Sanitary and Phytosanitary Measures ("The Agreement") provides that "[T]he Committee shall review the operation and implementation of this Agreement three years after the date of entry into force of the WTO Agreement, and thereafter as the need arises. Where appropriate, the Committee may submit to the Council for Trade in Goods proposals to amend the text of this Agreement having regard, *inter alia*, to the experience gained in its implementation."
- 2. At its meeting of 15-16 October 1997, the SPS Committee agreed on a procedure to review the operation and implementation of the Agreement (G/SPS/10). In 1998, the Committee held four informal meetings and four formal meetings, at which it considered issues and proposals identified by Members. These discussions were informed by a number of background papers submitted by Members.
- 3. In its discussion of issues concerning the operation and/or implementation of the Agreement, the Committee focussed especially on the provisions relating to transparency of sanitary and phytosanitary measures (Annex B), including the notification procedures; special and differential treatment of developing country Members (Article 10) and technical assistance (Article 9). The Committee also discussed international harmonization (Article 3); equivalence (Article 4); adaptation to regional conditions (Article 6); risk assessment (Article 5); and dispute resolution (Articles 11 and 12.2).

#### II. GENERAL

4. The Committee emphasized that the SPS Agreement was a new framework which, during its first three and a half years of implementation, had contributed to improving international trading relationships with respect to sanitary and phytosanitary measures, although a number of implementation issues gave concern to some Members, including a number of developing country Members. The Agreement specified Members' rights and obligations in the application of sanitary and phytosanitary measures and provided a useful set of international rules for the relevant national and subnational authorities within each Member. The Committee noted that a substantial part of each of its formal meetings was devoted to the discussion of specific implementation problems. On these occasions, the Committee had also discussed other issues of a more general nature, including matters related to the operation of the Agreement. The Committee welcomed the fact that a substantial number of SPS-related trade matters had been resolved following their discussion at formal meetings of the Committee or bilaterally.

5. Since its inception, the Committee has adopted a number of decisions, recommendations and arrangements aimed at improving the operation and implementation of the Agreement. However, the Committee observed that Members had not exhausted the discussions on the operation and implementation of the Agreement. It recognized that a number of issues needed to be addressed in the context of the future work programme of the Committee, and that further issues could be raised at any time by Members. It recalled that in accordance with Article 12.7, the operation and implementation of the Agreement shall be reviewed "... as the need arises ...".

#### III. TRANSPARENCY OF SANITARY AND PHYTOSANITARY MEASURES (ANNEX B)

- 6. Paragraph 5 of Annex B of the SPS Agreement requires Members to notify new sanitary and phytosanitary regulations or modification of existing regulations "[W]henever an international standard, guideline or recommendation does not exist or the content of a proposed sanitary or phytosanitary regulation is not substantially the same as the content of an international standard, guideline or recommendation, and if the regulation may have a significant effect on trade of other Members (...)". The Committee noted that the Agreement had significantly improved transparency in the application of sanitary or phytosanitary measures. This was illustrated by the fact that Members are progressively, and in a more comprehensive manner, meeting their notification obligations. Also, significant progress had been made in the establishment of Enquiry Points and National Notification Authorities. As of 11 March 1999, over 1100 notifications had been submitted by 59 Members; 91 Members had established National Notification Authorities; and 100 Members had established National Enquiry Points to respond to requests for information.
- 7. The SPS Agreement requires Members to publish their sanitary and phytosanitary measures. In this respect, the Committee encouraged Members to publish their sanitary and phytosanitary measures on the world wide web, in order to improve transparency.
- 8. The Committee recalled that it had adopted recommended notification procedures, as well as formats for routine and emergency notifications (G/SPS/7). It stressed that a number of the concerns raised during the course of the review related to the operation of the transparency provisions of the Agreement could be resolved if Members more comprehensively and systematically applied the recommended procedures. In this context, the Committee noted that there was a procedure allowing for the extension of the recommended comment period on notifications. The Committee also stressed the need for an accurate summary of the notified measure in one of the WTO official languages. The Committee noted that access to informal translations (especially if in a WTO official language) of texts of notified measures would facilitate their consideration by other Members, particularly if made available to Members through electronic means. It noted that a number of the other concerns identified in this area could be addressed by modification or clarification of the recommended procedures. In this regard, and with a view to ensuring a more effective functioning of the transparency provisions, the Committee agreed to the revised recommended notification procedures and notification formats contained in the Annex of this report.

#### IV. TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE (ARTICLE 9)

9. The Committee stressed the need for enhanced technical assistance and cooperation to developing countries, in particular with regard to human resource development, national capacity building and the transfer of technology and information, particularly by way of concrete, "hands-on" assistance. It recognized that technical assistance has been provided by Members on a bilateral basis, as provided in Article 9.1. In addition, in accordance with Article 9.2, some importing Members had provided technical assistance to developing country Members when substantial investments were required in order for these countries to fulfil the importing Member' sanitary and phytosanitary requirements.

- 10. The Committee also noted that the Secretariat provided technical assistance to developing country Members in the areas of its competence.
- 11. The Committee appreciated that the international organizations recognized in the Agreement, as well as other international organizations, including the World Health Organization (WHO), the Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) and the International Trade Center (ITC), also provided considerable technical assistance to developing country Members. However, the Committee emphasized that there was still a need for further assistance which, due to the expertise required, could best be provided by the relevant standard-setting international organizations. It agreed to bring this matter to their attention, keeping in mind that this could have a significant impact on the resources of these bodies and/or Members' resources.
- 12. The Committee reiterated the need for Members and the relevant international organizations to provide information on their technical cooperation and assistance programmes on a regular basis. In this regard, and with a view to making the most efficient use of the resources available and to facilitate coordination of different technical assistance initiatives, Members agreed to make available such information. It was noted that several Members have reported regularly to the Committee concerning ongoing activities and their continuing involvement in this area.

#### V. SPECIAL AND DIFFERENTIAL TREATMENT (ARTICLES 10 AND 14)

- 13. The Committee recalled that Article 10.1 of the Agreement provides that "[I]n the preparation and application of sanitary or phytosanitary measures, Members shall take account of the special needs of developing country Members, and in particular of the least-developed country Members". Moreover, Article 10.2 provides that "Where the appropriate level of sanitary or phytosanitary protection allows scope for the phased introduction of new sanitary or phytosanitary measures, longer time-frames for compliance should be accorded on products of interest to developing country Members so as to maintain opportunities for their exports". The Committee noted that it had no information on the extent to which the special and differential treatment provided for in Articles 10.1 and 10.2 had been accorded to developing country Members, nor information on the extent to which developing country Members had made use of any special and differential treatment accorded to them.
- 14. The Committee noted that the notification procedures for proposed sanitary and phytosanitary measures allow developing country Members, as well as other Members, to identify potential problems in meeting new requirements which may adversely affect their exports before the new measure(s) come into force.
- 15. The Committee noted the proposals submitted by some developing country Members in the context of the review and encouraged Members to further the practical implementation of Articles 10.1 and 10.2. In particular, the Committee stressed that Members should, in accordance with Article 10.2, accord longer time-frames for compliance on products of interest to developing country Members. Concerns identified by developing countries in the implementation of the SPS Agreement are summarized in document G/SPS/W/93.
- 16. The Committee recalled that under Article 14 of the Agreement, least-developed country Members could delay application of the Agreement, with respect to their sanitary and phytosanitary measures affecting importation or imported products, for a period of five years following its implementation (i.e., until 2000). Other developing country Members had the possibility to delay the application of the provisions of the Agreement, other than obligations pursuant to Articles 5.8 and 7, for two years following the entry into force of the WTO Agreement with respect to their existing sanitary and phytosanitary measures affecting importation and imported products (i.e., until 1997). Moreover, under Article 10.3 of the Agreement, developing country Members could request further time-limited exceptions with respect to any obligation under the Agreement taking into account their

financial, trade and development needs. Although there had been some suggestions to extend the period for application by all developing country Members, the Committee noted that during the period under review no specific requests had been submitted to the Committee under Article 10.3.

#### VI. INTERNATIONAL HARMONIZATION (ARTICLES 3 AND 12.4)

- 17. The Committee recalled that, as required by Articles 3.5 and 12.4 of the SPS Agreement, it had adopted a preliminary procedure to monitor the process of international harmonization and the use of international standards, guidelines or recommendations (G/SPS/11 refers). The Committee noted that several concrete examples had been submitted to the Committee as reflected in the annual report on the procedure (G/SPS/W/94). It encouraged Members to submit further examples. The Committee recalled that the operation of the monitoring procedure was to be reviewed 18 months after its initial implementation.
- 18. The Committee noted the concerns raised by developing country Members with regard to the procedures for the development and adoption of international standards, including difficulties in actively participating in the development of international standards and the lack of a mechanism to take into account the economic and technical capacity of developing country Members to implement such standards. However, the Committee considered that it was more appropriate for these concerns to be addressed within the relevant international organizations. It noted that some of these issues were already under discussion in the standard-setting bodies, including an evaluation of how to ensure a greater and more effective participation of developing countries in the development and adoption of international standards. The Committee agreed to communicate the concerns expressed by developing country Members to these organizations and to request the representatives of these organizations to keep the Committee informed of actions taken in this regard.
- 19. The Committee welcomed the cooperation of, and developments in, the international organizations recognized under the Agreement. In addition to their contribution in the context of technical assistance, this included: (i) the intensification and simplification of the Codex Alimentarius Commission (Codex) standard-setting procedures; (ii) the increased activity in the Office International des Epizooties (OIE), with which the WTO had signed a Cooperation and Consultation Agreement; and (iii) the revision of the International Plant Protection Convention (IPPC).

#### VII. EQUIVALENCE (ARTICLE 4)

20. Article 4.1 states that "Members shall accept the sanitary or phytosanitary measures of other Members as equivalent, even if these measures differ from their own or from those used by other Members trading in the same product, if the exporting Member objectively demonstrates to the importing Member that its measures achieve the importing Member's appropriate level of sanitary or phytosanitary protection." While recognizing that further discussions were necessary regarding this issue, the Committee noted the progress in the application of the concept of equivalence of sanitary and phytosanitary measures as illustrated by the increasing number of instances where equivalence has been accepted and of negotiations aimed at the recognition of equivalence. Bearing in mind the importance of this provision of the Agreement for facilitating trade, the Committee recognized the need for further efforts to achieve the practical application of this provision, including with respect to recognition of equivalence of measures applied by developing country Members. In this context, the Committee stressed the need for Members to provide relevant information regarding the determination of their appropriate level of protection and to recognize equivalence rather than sameness of measures. With a view to further enhancing transparency, it encouraged Members to submit information on their bilateral equivalency agreements and determinations. The Committee welcomed the work underway in some of the relevant international organizations that could further the application of this concept.

#### VIII. ADAPTATION TO REGIONAL CONDITIONS (ARTICLE 6)

21. The Committee noted that adaptation to regional conditions, including the recognition of pestor disease-free areas or areas of low pest or disease prevalence, was of significant importance for
trade in agricultural products. In this regard, the Committee welcomed the application of these
concepts by an increasing number of Members. However, the Committee also noted certain
difficulties in the implementation of this Article. Such difficulties included divergences in
interpretation and implementation of international guidelines; an excessively lengthy administrative
process in importing countries for recognizing pest- or disease-free areas or areas of low pest or
disease prevalence; and the complexities often involved in risk assessment. The Committee
welcomed the contributions of the relevant international standard-setting bodies which assist
Members in fulfilling the provisions of Article 6 of the Agreement.

#### IX. RISK ASSESSMENT (ARTICLES 5.1, 5.2 AND 5.3)

22. The Committee noted that since the entry into force of the Agreement, Codex, OIE and IPPC had undertaken a considerable amount of work in the area of risk assessment and that their work had significantly progressed. These international organizations had begun work on guidelines on risk analysis, including on relevant terminology, facilitating Members' compliance with obligations under the Agreement. Other international organizations, including the WHO and the FAO, were also working in this area. The Committee agreed to continue to closely follow developments in this area.

#### X. DISPUTE RESOLUTION (ARTICLES 11 AND 12.2)

- 23. The Committee noted that three cases involving the Agreement had been examined by Panels/Appellate Body under formal WTO dispute settlement procedures.
- 24. With regard to the application of Article 12.2 of the SPS Agreement, there had been a number of bilateral consultations between Members which had facilitated the clarification of misunderstandings or otherwise resolved the issues involved. In some cases, the Chairman and/or the Secretariat had facilitated the consultations and the efforts to reach a mutually satisfactory solution. Without prejudice to the right of Members to invoke formal dispute settlement procedures at any time, the Committee noted that the use of Article 12.2 could be an effective means of satisfactorily resolving problems.
- 25. Finally, the Committee observed that extensive discussions on particular implementation problems at its formal meetings had helped to draw attention to specific trade concerns and related issues and to avoid potential trade conflicts.

#### XI. OTHER ISSUES

26. The SPS Committee recalled that its review of the operation and implementation of the Agreement at this time had not been exhaustive. It recognized that Members could at any time raise any issue for consideration by the Committee.

#### **ANNEX**

# WORLD TRADE ORGANIZATION

G/SPS/7/Rev.1 date of distribution (98-0000)

**Committee on Sanitary and Phytosanitary Measures** 

#### RECOMMENDED NOTIFICATION PROCEDURES

At its meeting of 10-11 March 1999, the Committee adopted the following revised recommended notification procedures with regard to paragraphs 5 and 6 of Annex B of the Agreement.

Members should follow these guidelines when notifying regulations as required in paragraphs 5 or 6 of Annex B. The format for routine notifications (Item F below) should be used for notifications in accordance with paragraph 5 of Annex B, whereas the format for emergency notifications (Item G below) should be used for notifications as provided for in paragraph 6 of Annex B.

### A. <u>APPLICATION OF ANNEX B, PARAGRAPH 5 (PREAMBULAR PART) OF THE SPS AGREEMENT</u>

For the purposes of Annex B, paragraphs 5 and 6 in the SPS Agreement, the concept of "significant effect on trade of other Members" may refer to the effect on trade:

- of one sanitary or phytosanitary regulation only or of various sanitary or phytosanitary regulations in combination;
- in a specific product, group of products or products in general; and
- between two or more Members.

When assessing whether the sanitary or phytosanitary regulation<sup>1</sup> may have a significant effect on trade, the Member concerned should take into consideration, using relevant information which is available, such elements as the value or other importance of imports in respect of the importing and/or exporting Members concerned, whether from other Members individually or collectively, the potential development of such imports, and difficulties for producers in other Members to comply with the proposed sanitary or phytosanitary regulations. The concept of a significant effect on trade of other Members should include both import-enhancing and import-reducing effects on the trade of other Members, as long as such effects are significant.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Sanitary and phytosanitary measures such as laws, decrees or ordinances which are applicable generally.

#### B. TIMING OF NOTIFICATIONS

When implementing the provisions of paragraph 5 of Annex B, a notification should be made when a draft with the complete text of a proposed regulation is available and when amendments can still be introduced and comments taken into account.

A notification shall be made well before the entry into force of the relevant measure, except when urgent problems of health protection arise or threaten to arise for the Member concerned. Any regulation brought into force in urgent circumstances must be notified immediately.

#### C. REQUESTING DOCUMENTS RELATED TO A NOTIFICATION

Members requesting documents related to a notification should provide all the elements permitting the identification of the documents, and in particular the WTO SPS notification number to which the requests refer.

#### D. PROVIDING DOCUMENTS RELATED TO A NOTIFICATION

#### Address of body supplying the documents

Members should indicate under point 12 of the WTO notification format (point 11 for Emergency notifications) the full address of the body responsible for supplying the relevant documents if that body is not the notification authority or the enquiry point.

#### **Responding to requests**

Documents requested should normally be provided within five working days. If this is not possible, the request for documentation or information should be acknowledged within that period and an estimate given of the time required to provide the requested documentation.

Documents supplied in response to a request should be identified with the WTO SPS notification number to which the request refers.

Members should use fax and e-mail facilities to the extent possible in responding to requests for documentation or information. Members are encouraged to publish their sanitary or phytosanitary measures on the world wide web, to facilitate the supply of documents.

#### **Acknowledging receipt of documents**

The Member requesting documents relating to a notification should acknowledge receipt of the documents provided.

#### **Translation of documents**

When a translation of a relevant document exists or is planned, this fact should be indicated on the WTO notification form next to the title of the document. If only a translated summary exists, the fact that such a summary is available should be similarly indicated.

If a translation of a document or summary exists in the language of the requesting Member, or, as the case may be, in the WTO working language used by the requesting Member, it should be automatically sent with the original of the document requested.

Where documents are not available in a WTO working language, developed country Members shall, upon request, supply a translation of the document, or in case of voluminous documents, a translation of a summary of the documents, in a WTO working language.

When a Member seeks a copy of a document relating to a notification which does not exist in that Member's WTO working language, the notifying Member should advise the requesting Member of other Members that have requested, as of that date, a copy of the document. The Member seeking a copy of a document relating to a notification may contact other Members in order to determine whether the latter are prepared to share any translation that they have or will be making.

Any Member possessing an unofficial translation of a document relating to a notification should inform the notifying Member of the existence of the unofficial translation and is encouraged to make it available to other interested Members, through electronic facilities where appropriate. In doing so, the Member should clearly indicate the unofficial and non committal nature of the translation.

#### E. HANDLING OF COMMENTS ON NOTIFICATIONS

Each Member should notify the WTO Secretariat of the authority or agency (e.g. its notification authority) which it has designated to be in charge of handling comments received, and of any change and/or modification of such authority or agency.

Members submitting comments on a notified draft regulation should provide them without unnecessary delay to the authority designated to handle the comments, or to the national notification authority if no other designation is made.

A Member receiving comments through the designated body should, without further request

- (i) acknowledge the receipt of such comments;
- (ii) explain within a reasonable period of time, and at the earliest possible date before the adoption of the measure, to any Member from which it has received comments, how it will take these comments into account and, where appropriate, provide additional relevant information on the proposed sanitary or phytosanitary regulations concerned;
- (iii) provide to any Member from which it has received comments, a copy of the corresponding sanitary or phytosanitary regulations as adopted or information that no corresponding sanitary or phytosanitary regulations will be adopted for the time being;
- (iv) where possible make available to other Members comments and questions it has received and answers it has provided, preferably through electronic facilities.

Favourable consideration should be given to requests for extension of the comment period, in particular with regard to notifications relating to products of particular interest to developing country Members, or where there have been delays in receiving and translating the relevant documents. An extension of the time-limit for comments of at least 30 days should be provided upon request, whenever possible.

#### F. COMPLETION OF FORMATS - ROUTINE NOTIFICATIONS (ANNEX B, PARAGRAPH 5)

Information contained in the notifications should be as complete as possible and no section should be left blank. Where necessary, "not known" or "not stated" should be indicated.

| <u>Item</u>  | Description   |
|--|---|
| 1. Member notifying  | Government, including the competent authorities of the European Communities, which is making the notification.  |
| 2. Agency responsible  | Body elaborating a proposal for or promulgating a sanitary or phytosanitary regulation.   |
| 3. Products covered and regions or countries likely to be affected | Tariff item number(s) (normally HS, chapter or heading and number) as contained in national schedules deposited with the WTO. ICS numbers may be provided in addition, where applicable. A clear description is important for an understanding of the notification by delegations and translators. Abbreviations should be avoided. The geographical regions or countries likely to be affected by the notified regulation should be identified to the extent relevant or practicable.  |
| 4. Title and number of pages of the notified document              | Title of the proposed or adopted sanitary or phytosanitary regulation. Number of pages in the notified document. World wide web address of document notified, if available.   |
| 5. Description of content  | A summary of the proposed or adopted sanitary or phytosanitary regulation clearly indicating its content and health protection objective. The summary should be as complete and accurate as possible to allow the full understanding of the proposed regulation. To the extent possible, likely effects on trade should be described. Abbreviations should be avoided. Where practicable it should also include an outline of the specific sanitary measures the regulation will apply. |

6. Objective and rationale

State whether objective is: protection of human health from foodborne risks; or protection of human health from plant- or animalcarried diseases; or protection of animal health from pests or diseases; or protection of animal health from contaminated feed; or protection of plant health from pests or diseases; or prevention of other damage from entry or spread of pests.

7. Existence of international standard, guideline or recommendation

If a relevant international standard, guideline or recommendation does not exist, put a cross in the box provided; otherwise give the appropriate reference of the existing standard, guideline or recommendation and briefly describe how the proposed regulation deviates from the international standard, guideline or recommendation.

- 8. Relevant documents and language(s) in which these are available
- (a) Publication where notice of the proposed regulation appears, including date and reference numbers;
- (b) Proposal and basic document to which proposal refers (with specific reference number or other identification), and the language(s) in which the notified documents and any summary of these are available;
- (c) Publication in which proposal will appear when adopted;
- (d) If it is necessary to charge for documents supplied, the amount of the charge should be indicated.
- 9. Proposed date of adoption

The date when the sanitary or phytosanitary regulation is expected to be adopted.

10. Proposed date of entry into force

The date from which the requirements in the regulation are proposed or decided to enter into force. Where appropriate, Members should accord longer time-frames for compliance on products of interest to developing country Members.

11. Final date for comments and agency or authority handling comments

The date by which Members may submit comments in accordance with Annex B, Paragraph 5(b) of the SPS Agreement. A specific date should be indicated. A normal time limit for comments on notifications of sixty days has been recommended. A Member may, if necessary, however, indicate in its notification that it will proceed to implement the proposed measure after forty-five days if no comments or requests for extension of the time limit have been received from other Members within that time. Any Member which is able to provide a time limit beyond sixty days is encouraged to do so.

The agency or authority which has been designated to handle the comments should be indicated. If this is the national notification authority or the national enquiry point, put a cross in the box provided. If another agency or authority has been designated, provide its name, address, fax and (if available) E-mail address.

For proposed measures which facilitate trade, Members may reduce

or eliminate the period for receiving comments.

12. Texts available from

If available from the national notification authority or the enquiry point, put a cross in the respective box. If available from another body, give its address, fax number and (if available) E-mail address. Such indications do not in any way discharge the relevant enquiry point of its responsibilities under the provisions of Annex B, Paragraphs 3 and 4 of the SPS Agreement.

### WORLD TRADE

#### G/SPS/N/COUNTRY

date of distribution

### **ORGANIZATION**

(99-0000)

**Committee on Sanitary and Phytosanitary Measures** 

#### **NOTIFICATION**

| 1.  | Member to Agreement notifying: If applicable, name of local government involved:  |
|-----|---|
| 2.  | Agency responsible:   |
| 3.  | Products covered (provide tariff item number(s) as specified in national schedules deposited with the WTO; ICS numbers may be provided in addition, where applicable). Regions or countries likely to be affected, to the extent relevant or practicable: |
| 4.  | Title and number of pages of the notified document:   |
| 5.  | Description of content:   |
| 6.  | Objective and rationale: [ ] food safety [ ] animal health [ ] plant protection [ ] protect humans from animal/plant pest or disease [ ] protect territory from other damage from pests   |
| 7.  | An international standard, guideline or recommendation does not exist [ ].  |
|     | If an international standard, guideline or recommendation exists, give the appropriate reference and briefly identify deviations:   |
| 8.  | Relevant documents and language(s) in which these are available:  |
| 9.  | Proposed date of adoption:  |
| 10. | Proposed date of entry into force:  |
| 11. | Final date for comments:  |
|     | Agency or authority designated to handle comments: [] National notification authority, [] National enquiry point, or address, fax number and E-mail address (if available) of other body:   |
| 12. | Texts available from: [ ] National notification authority, [ ] National enquiry point, or address, fax number and E-mail address (if available) of other body:  |

### G. <u>COMPLETION OF FORMATS - EMERGENCY NOTIFICATIONS (ANNEX B, PARAGRAPH 6)</u>

Information contained in the notification form should be as complete as possible and no section should be left blank. Where necessary, "not known" or "not stated" should be indicated.

| <u>Item</u>  | <u>Description</u>  |
|--|---|
| 1. Member notifying  | Government, including the competent authorities of the European Communities, which is making the notification.  |
| 2. Agency responsible  | Body elaborating a proposal for or promulgating a sanitary or phytosanitary regulation.   |
| 3. Products covered and regions or countries likely to be affected | Tariff item number(s) (normally HS, chapter or heading and number) as contained in national schedules deposited with the WTO. ICS numbers may be provided in addition, where applicable. A clear description is important for an understanding of the notification by delegations and translators. Abbreviations should be avoided. The geographical regions or countries likely to be affected by the notified regulation should be identified to the extent relevant or practicable.  |
| 4. Title and number of pages of the notified document              | Title of the proposed or adopted sanitary or phytosanitary regulation. Number of pages in the notified document. World wide web address of document notified, if available.   |
| 5. Description of content  | A summary of the proposed or adopted sanitary or phytosanitary regulation clearly indicating its content and health protection objective. The summary should be as complete and accurate as possible to allow the full understanding of the proposed regulation. To the extent possible, likely effects on trade should be described. Abbreviations should be avoided. Where practicable it should also include an outline of the specific sanitary measures the regulation will apply. |
| 6. Objective and rationale   | State whether objective is: protection of human health from food-<br>borne risks; or protection of human health from plant- or animal-<br>carried diseases; or protection of animal health from pests or<br>diseases; or protection of animal health from contaminated feed;<br>or protection of plant health from pests or diseases; or prevention<br>of other damage from entry or spread of pests.   |
| 7. Nature of urgent problem(s)                                     | Indication of the underlying reasons for resorting to emergency action.   |

- 8. Existence of international standard, guideline or recommendation
- If a relevant international standard, guideline or recommendation does not exist, put a cross in the box provided; otherwise give the appropriate reference of the existing standard, guideline or recommendation and briefly describe how the proposed regulation deviates from the international standard, guideline or recommendation.
- Relevant documents and language(s) in which these are available
- (a) Measure(s) taken and basic regulation which was modified (with specific reference number or other identification), and the language(s) in which the notified documents and any summary of these are available;
- (b) Publication in which regulation will appear;
- (c) If it is necessary to charge for documents supplied, the amount of the charge should be indicated.
- 10. Date of entry into force and period of application
- The date from which the requirements entered into force, and, if applicable, the period of time during which they will apply. (For example: immediate entry into force [date], duration of two months.)
- 11. Texts available from and agency or authority handling comments
- If available from the national notification authority or enquiry point, put a cross in the respective box. If available from another body, give its address, fax number and (if available) E-mail address. Such indications do not in any way discharge the relevant enquiry point of its responsibilities under the provisions of Annex B, Paragraphs 3 and 4 of the SPS Agreement.

The agency or authority which has been designated to handle the comments should be indicated.

### WORLD TRADE

#### G/SPS/N/COUNTRY

date of distribution

### **ORGANIZATION**

(99-000)

**Committee on Sanitary and Phytosanitary Measures** 

#### NOTIFICATION OF EMERGENCY MEASURES

| 1.  | Member to Agreement notifying:  |
|-----|---|
|     | If applicable, name of local government involved:   |
| 2.  | Agency responsible:   |
| 3.  | Products covered (provide tariff item number(s) as specified in national schedules deposited with the WTO; ICS numbers may be provided in addition, where applicable). Regions or countries likely to be affected, to the extent relevant or practicable: |
| 4.  | Title and number of pages of the notified document:   |
| 5.  | Description of content:   |
| 6.  | Objective and rationale: [ ] food safety [ ] animal health [ ] plant protection [ ] protect humans from animal/plant pest or disease [ ] protect territory from other damage from pests   |
| 7.  | Nature of the urgent problem(s):  |
| 8.  | An international standard, guideline or recommendation does not exist [ ].  |
|     | If an international standard, guideline or recommendation exists, give its appropriate reference and briefly identify deviations:   |
| 9.  | Relevant documents and language(s) in which these are available:  |
| 10. | Date of entry into force/period of application (as applicable):   |
| 11. | Texts available from/and agency or authority designated to handle comments: [] National notification authority, [] National enquiry point, or address, fax number and E-mail address (if available) of other body:  |